Nicki Simons

From:

Helena Reid <helena@helenareid.co.za>

Sent:

17 January 2012 01:23 PM

To:

Standards Standards

Subject:

Proposed SAAPS 3 - Comments

Importance:

High

Categories:

Blue Category

Ouestion 1:

Do you agree with the format of SAAPS 3 as set out in the significant matters (paragraph 1 above)? Yes

Question 2:

Do you agree with the two Appendices (paragraph 2 above) being included in SAAPS 3, and do you find them useful in determining which report is appropriate to apply in particular circumstances? Yes

Question 3:

Do you agree with the scope of the South African guidance in Part A (paragraph 3 above)? Yes

Question 4:

Should the guidance in Part A include other illustrative reports? If so please provide suggestions and examples (paragraph 3 above).

I find the that the SAAPS is not detailed enough.

There are numerous examples of audit opinions in the older SAAPS' 3 documents that were not retained in the current draft. There are also numerous examples of audit opinions and modifications in the ISA's that were not included in the SAAPS.

For example:

- Audit opinion implications relating to opening balances and first time audit of companies.
- Audit opinion implications arising from previous auditors and comparatives (ISA 710).
- Audit opinion implications arising from subsequent events.
- Audit opinion implications due to scope limitations.
- Audit opinion implication due to disclosure issues.
- Audit opinions in respect of interim financial statements.
- Audit opinions in respect of consolidations.

Question 5:

Do you agree with the basis for including and excluding illustrative reports (paragraph 4 above)? See comment above.

Please indicate the context in which your response is made:

- · Large firm;
- · Medium sized firm
- · Small firm: ✓
- · Academic;
- Commerce and industry;

- · Public Sector;
- Other (give details).

Helena Reid